

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/837,734 | 04/17/2001 | Takaaki Nagai | NECF 18.591 9062 | |
| 26304 7 | 590 12/21/2004 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE | | | OWENS, DOUGLAS W | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2811 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A 12 42 At - | A 11 (-) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/837,734 | NAGAI, TAKAAKI | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Douglas W Owens | 2811 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper reply to a name of the places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | • | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. | of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai | unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I | | · | | | |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: the proposed amendments will require a | dditional search and consideration. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a so | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | idered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-8</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | |
| 10 Other: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | T N N | | | |

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800